



FILED

9-29-16
04:59 PM

JMO/vm1 9/29/2016

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric
Company to Revise its Electric Marginal
Costs, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design.
(U39M)

Application 16-06-013
(Filed June 30, 2016)

**E-MAIL RULING CONFIRMING WORKSHOP SCHEDULE
AND RELATED MATTERS**

Dated September 29, 2016, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JEANNE M. MCKINNEY

Jeanne M. McKinney
Administrative Law Judge

From: McKinney, Jeanne

Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2016 3:29 PM

To: 'matthew@turn.org'; 'Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com'; 'fadia.khoury@sce.com'; 'Russell.Archer@SCE.com'; 'AMSmith@SemptraUtilities.com'; Sher, Nicholas; 'nsuetake@turn.org'; 'Rita.Liotta@navy.mil'; 'EK@a-klaw.com'; 'james@UtilityAdvocates.org'; 'nes@a-klaw.com'; 'NJohnson@Consumercal.org'; 'epoole@adplaw.com'; 'epoole@adplaw.com'; 'JArmstrong@GoodinMacBride.com'; 'VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com'; 'GLSg@pge.com'; 'daniel@denebeinlaw.com'; 'jwiedman@kfwlaw.com'; 'TLindl@kfwlaw.com'; 'service@cforat.org'; 'JWaen@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'SShupe@SonomaCleanPower.org'; 'cmkehrrein@ems-ca.com'; 'MBoccadoro@westcoastadvisors.com'; 'RL@eslawfirm.com'; 'KMills@cbbf.com'; 'ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com'; 'barbara@barkovichandyp.com'; 'RegRelCpucCases@pge.com'; 'yxt5@pge.com'; 'EmilySangi@dwt.com'; 'lettenson@nrdc.org'; 'mkolb@solarcity.com'; 'nreardon@sonomacleanpower.org'; 'osanford@solarcity.com'; 'blaising@braunlegal.com'; 'mrw@mrwassoc.com'; 'BSmithwood@seia.org'; 'khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil'; 'larry.r.allen@navy.mil'; 'htran@consultbai.com'; 'mbrubaker@consultbai.com'; 'CPUCDockets@eq-research.com'; 'case.admin@sce.com'; 'snelson@semptra.com'; 'liddell@energyattorney.com'; 'DGolan@semptrautilities.com'; 'WFuller@semptrautilities.com'; 'WFuller@SemptraUtilities.com'; 'Brown@eplawyers.net'; 'sue.mara@RTOAdvisors.com'; 'filings@a-klaw.com'; 'cpucCases@pge.com'; 'SRH1@pge.com'; 'troberts@consumercal.org'; 'fwahl@solarcity.com'; 'billnusbaum13@gmail.com'; 'Megha.Lakhchaura@SunrunHome.com'; 'bonnie.datta.ext@siemens.com'; 'alison@bartlewells.com'; 'TomB@CrossBorderEnergy.com'; 'Regulatory@mceCleanEnergy.org'; 'regulatory@mcecleanenergy.org'; 'Rmccann@umich.edu'; 'Brad@Calseia.org'; 'Stough@BraunLegal.com'; 'Lynn.Marshall@Energy.Ca.Gov'; 'wmc@a-klaw.com'; Danforth, Christopher; Gutierrez, Benjamin; Chan, Cherie; Khoury, Dexter; Duran, Eric; McKinney, Jeanne; Tan, Lee-Whei; Irwin, Louis M.; Karle, Matthew; Chau, Nathan; Doherty, Patrick

Cc: ALJ Docket Office; ALJ_Hearing Requests; ALJ Process

Subject: A1606013 Email Ruling Confirming Workshop Schedule and Related Matters

This email ruling confirms the procedural schedule for the remainder of 2016, with a primary focus on the Residential Fixed Charge Workshops ordered by Decision 15-07-001. The rest of the procedural schedule will be set in the Scoping Memorandum and Ruling.

Procedural Schedule (other than Fixed Charge Workshop matters):

Event	Date and Location
PG&E serves corrected GRC 2 Testimony and Workpapers, as necessary	September 30, 2016
PG&E serves required update to exhibits (mostly to reflect updated sales forecast)	December 2, 2016

The Residential Fixed Charge Workshops are intended to address the cost basis and methodology for setting a residential fixed charge, if such a charge is proposed in the future. Three workshops are currently planned, but the schedule may change to accommodate information and party positions developed in the early workshops. The schedule below provides two alternative schedules in the even that a motion for testimony and evidentiary hearings is received and granted prior to December 6, 2016.

Procedural Schedule A for Residential Fixed Charge

Event	Date and Location
Appendix F, served and filed by SDG&E and	October 6, 2016

SCE Workshop materials, served and filed by IOUs	
Workshop #1	October 13, 2016
Alternative proposals	
Workshop #2	November 2, 2016
Deadline for Motion to convert schedule fixed charge Alternative schedule B	November 10, 2016
Workshop #3 (if necessary)	December 2, 2016
Deadline for Motion to convert schedule to allow testimony and evidentiary hearings instead of comment format Alternative Schedule C	December 6, 2016
Opening comments on IOU reports	December 19, 2016
Reply comments	January 19, 2017

Alternative Schedule B (if motion is filed no later than November 10, 2016)

Deadline for Motion to convert schedule fixed charge Alternative schedule B	November 10, 2016
Opening Testimony (all parties except PG&E)	December 19, 2016
Reply Testimony (all parties)	January 19, 2017
Rebuttal Testimony	January 31, 2017
Evidentiary Hearings	February 22 – 24, 2016

Alternative Schedule C (if motion is filed no later than December 6, 2016)

Deadline for Motion to convert schedule fixed charge Alternative schedule C	December 6, 2016
Opening Testimony (all parties except PG&E)	TBD
Reply Testimony (all parties)	TBD
Rebuttal Testimony	TBD
Evidentiary Hearings	TBD

Workshop #1.

The first workshop is to make all parties aware of the specific cost basis proposed by the IOUs for a potential residential fixed charge and the methodology for calculating the charge. The IOUs will present cost information in a granular and suitable for a GRC I-level analysis. The information presented is should have sufficient detail to allow non-IOU parties to craft their own detailed, alternative proposals on the fixed charge question, and to allow for a robust question and answer session after each IOU's presentation at the first workshop.

Workshop #2. The second workshop will focus on alternative non-IOU proposals, including proposals for a minimum bill in lieu of a fixed charge, as well as other issues such as a potential differentiation between fixed charges for small and large customers and the education and outreach that should be employed for any potential fixed charge.

Workshop #3. TBD at workshop #2.

THE DOCKET OFFICE SHALL FORMALLY FILE THIS RULING.

Jeanne M. McKinney
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-1723

jmo@cpuc.ca.gov
(415) 703-2550

ALJ Division Vision:

Just, reasoned, efficient, and innovative resolution of matters in a manner that ensures due process and transparency, and respects the dignity of all participants.